UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DESTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case Number: M-06-412

(Criminal No. 06-145 DSD/AJB DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	·)	* 06 635 TOWNES, J.
Plaintiss.))	BLOOM, AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
. V.	Ĵ	FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY
NOBUMPCIII FURUKAWA.)	FRECEPVED NOV 2 2 2006
Defendant.)	SPRO SE OFFICE

- I. Nobumochi Furnkawa, being duly sworn on my oath, do depose and state as follows:
- 1.) I am the Chief Executive Officer of Arm Internet
 Consulting, Inc. located at 41-25 Kissena Bouleward, #4A,
 Flughing, NY 11355.
- 21) I tems and documents belonging to the company were

seized from its premises on April 21, 2006 in connection with criminal complaint against myself as an individual.

Property and documents belonging to the company, as listed in sheet titled "INVENTORY" with OI CASE.

Number: NXOTAROGNY DOIQ, is sued by Department of

Homeberd Security, Schibit A are as Sollows:

- 1 Compay Presario 6000 SIN MX309 A 2629
- 1 Generic PC
- 1 GCS PC

·

- 1 Compay IPAQ PDA
- I BAG Thumbdrive, WD External Harddrive, Smaxter harddrive Various Media (CD, Hoppy, VHS Tapes) and documents, which need to be visually identified.
- 4.) The company has not been able to function fully or properly sile tax recurs since the seizure.

5.) Attant will not contest creation of copies of documents and electronic media if government contends it needs them for future proceedings.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that the court grant the within motion, as well as such other and further relief that may be just and proper.

NOBUMOCHI FURUKAWA

Subscribed and sworn to me this 10th day of November, 2006. Angela R. Kut



Sept. of Immigat	ISBN 196 CV-99635-SLT-LB Document 1-4 Filed 121/22/96 Page 409f 8 PageID #: 4 INVENTORY
OICAS	SENUMBER NIOZOPOLINIONA NICK KAUDENS
	NCIDENT NUMBER:
SUBJE	CT NAME: FURUKAWA DATE: 4.21.06 338
SUBJE	CT ADDRESS: 41-25 Kissena Blud, Flushing
C	OFFICER # 1: K. WILSON
a	OFFICER#2: S. Ceruth
QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS
j	IBM THINKPAD LAPTOR S/N KS32793
 ;	Compaq Aresario S/N MX309A23Z6
	MILINTOSH PERFORMA S/D XBS13QV13FN
1	Generic PC
1	GCS PC
Í	Box Various Media
ì	Box VHS Tapes + Unknown Media
	Compaa Impaa PDA
)	BAG THUMBORIVE, WD External Handdrive 3 MaxTOR handleve
	Box CD's + Floppy's
	BAG CD's Floppy's 5 louse headdeiver
1	BAG Floppy Disks
	BAG MISC. DOCS + MAGAZINES
(COMPAQ PRESARIO 6000 S/N MXZXB9AZ629
2	Boxes VHS Tapes
}	Box Utts Tapes Floppy's, CD's PAGE OF
	BOX Misc. Fin. Docs
1 =	FRANKLINPC S/N 08022209

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA Criminal No.: 06-145 DSD/AJB

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	RESPONSE OF THE UNITED
1.22.11.01.11.7	. /	STATES TO DEFENDANT'S
٧.)	MOTION TO DISMISS OR EXCLUDE FROM EVIDENCE
NOBUMOCHI FURUKAWA,)	EXCHOUR FROM STUDENCE
Defendant.)	

The United States of America, by and through its attorneys Rachel K. Paulose, United States Attorney, and Michelle E. Jones, Assistant United States Attorney, herein responds to defendant's motion to dismiss or exclude from evidence.

Defendant moves to dismiss the action against him or, in the alternative, to exclude from use at trial any and all evidence derived from any search or seizure conducted outside of Minnesota and any expert opinion not previously disclosed by the United States on the grounds that the United States has intentionally failed to comply with its obligations under Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and prior orders of the Court.

Defendant's motion to dismiss the charges against him or exclude expert evidence should be denied. Pursuant to a protective order dated June 28, 2006, the United States provided the defendant with a "mirror image" copy of the computer media that was seized from him upon his arrival at the Minneapolis/St. Paul International airport from Tokyo, Japan on April 20, 2006. By order dated August 21, 2006, the Court required the United States to identify any

expert witness and disclose opinion summaries at least two weeks before trial. The October 23, 2006 trial date in this case was recently continued to November 13, 2006. As there has been no violation of the Court's order regarding the timing of expert disclosures, defendant's motion to dismiss or exclude evidence as to expert witnesses should be denied.

Defendant's motion to dismiss or to exclude evidence seized from his residence and business locations in New York should similarly be denied. The Court ordered the United States to certify whether it intends to utilize evidence from the New York searches at trial by September 6, 2006. The United States provided a certified copy of the search warrant and supporting affidavit to defense counsel last week, shortly after it was received by counsel for the United States. The United States hereby certifies that it does not intend to utilize any evidence seized during the execution of the search warrants at the defendant's residence and business locations in New York.

For the foregoing reasons, defendant's motion to dismiss or to exclude from evidence should be denied.

Dated: October <u>2</u>, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

RACHEL K. PAULOSE United States Attorney

s/Michelle E. Jones BY: MICHELLE E. JONES Assistant U.S. Attorney UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
Case Number: m-06-412
(Criminal No. 06-145 DSD/AJB DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA)

UNITED STA	TES OF AMER	ICA,)	
)	
		Plaintiff,)	
)	AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT
	♥.)	FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY
)	F.R.Cr.P. 41 (q)
NOBUMOCHI	FURUKAWA,)	. 3.
)	
		Defendant.)	SS

- I, Chinami Furukawa, being duly sworn on my oath, do depose and state as follows:
- 1.) I am the spouse of the defendant and I reside at 41-25 Kissena Boulevard #4A Flushing, New York 11355.
- 2.) Items and documents belonging to me were seized from its premises on April 21, 2006 in connection with criminal complaint against my spouse.
- 3.) Property and documents belonging to me, as listed in sheet titled "INVENTRY" with OI CASE NUMBER: NY07QR06NY0019, issued by Department of Homeland Security, Exhibit A are as follows:
- 1 Compaq Presario s/n MX309A2326

Various Media (CD, Floppy, VHS Tapes) and documents, which need to be visually identified.

4.) I have been aggrieved by the seizure of property belonging to me personally, since the seizure. I kept contact information of friends in the computer. I kept personal notes, photographs, and

- email on the computer and electronic media. My personal and social life has suddenly come to a half, as it revolved around email contacts, as can be said for many people in this age.
 - 5.) I need the return of the computer, not just a copy of the contents, as I am not technically skilled enough to be able to extract information without the original computer.
 - 6.) Affiant will not contest creation of copies of documents and electronic media if government contends it needs them for future proceedings.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that the court grant the within motion, as well as such other and further relief that may be just and proper.

CHINAMI FURUKAWA

Subscribed and sworn to me

this 19th day of November, 2006

DETERM S. KATZ.

MOTING PUBLIC STATE OF NEW YOR

OUALIFIED IN INASSAU COUNTY

CELTIFIED IN QUEENS

COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 15, 2000